

# “218 International NASE-IAU Astronomy Course”

Tehran, Iran, April 2021

## Questionnaire

### Discipline:

Maths	Physics	Chemistry	Nat. Science	Biology	Soc. Science	Astronomy	Primary	Maths
7%	33%	5%	5%	17%	2%	26%	5%	7%



### Level:

Under 12	12-18	Over 18
4%	39%	57%




### Is this school your first contact with astronomy?

No	Yes
70%	30%



### If no, what is your background in astronomy?

Self-taught	Courses	Amateurs	University
35%	24%	10%	31%



### What was the cause for participating in this course?

Professionals	Personal
48%	52%



### What do you think of the choice of dates?

Very well	Well
70%	30%



### What about duration?

Very well	Well	Lenght
39%	48%	13%



### What do you think of the organization of the day (and night) in four different types of activities: conferences, working groups, workshops, observations?

Very well	Well
65%	35%



### What kind of activities have preferred?

All	Workshops	Observations
41%	50%	9%



### What kind of activities you like less?

Lectures	Observations	None
13%	13%	74%




### The subjects, have met your expectations?

Very well	Well	Very Poor
57%	39%	4%



### What would you delete?

None	Lectures
86%	14%



### What would you add?

More Workshops	More Observations	More Lectures	None
33%	27%	18%	22%



### The level of activities has been:

Satisfactory	Too High	Too Low
74%	22%	4%



**What is your opinion about the teaching methods of teachers?**

Very well	Well	Poor
70%	22%	8%



**What is your opinion about NASE web?**

Very well	Well
74%	26%



**What is your opinion about coordination between different activities?**

Very well	Well
52%	48%



**What is your overall opinion of the course related to:**

**a) Exchanges and contacts with other participants?**

Very interesting	Interesting	Uninteresting	Poor interesting
70%	22%	4%	4%



**b) What is your opinion about the usefulness of the course to their teaching?**

Very useful	Useful	Not very useful
61%	30%	9%



What especially criticize?

- Nothing
- Nothing. Very well
- None
- Make the course more specialized
- Teacher's oratory were not good.
- Everything was great and the teachers taught the best. The only thing that was a little annoying was the long questions asked by some of the participants, which reduced the time presented.
- It was really great
- I really liked this course and it was very practical and I have no criticism
- Everything was fine
- Better meeting time management
- Very, very good
- Everything was great
- Please increase the number of sessions and the duration
- Observation can help us more
- Nothing, very well

What would you value more?

- Continuous participation in NASE courses
- Teaching method
- Activities
- Making astronomical instruments with the least tools and the most efficiency was very interesting and new for me.
- All
- And more workshop sessions
- It is important to learn right concepts and this course was able to do a good job
- Their interest in answering everyone's questions. And they were so kind :)
- For practical work
- Awareness and sufficient information from providers
- Lessons, science and school
- Everything was fine
- Work with other
- It was a different challenge for me
- Continuous participation in NASE courses

Other observations?

- Specialized expression of content
- Everything was very well and useful for me . It was interesting for me to learn a lot and have fun in this course with others and increase my astronomy knowledge and take part in this course as a new member.

- Everything is great
- Making interesting tools to understand the locations of the stars was very cool and interesting for me
- new content and patience of the provider in answering questions after the meeting
- I think we need to group ages then teach