

# “223 International NASE-IAU Astronomy Course”

Delhi, India, May 2021

## Questionnaire

### Discipline:

Math	Physics	Chemistry	Nat. Science	Biology	Astronomy	Soc Science
17%	34%	17%	8%	8%	12%	4%



### Level:

Under 12	12 to 18	Over 18
18%	36%	46%



### Is this school your first contact with astronomy?

No	Yes
46%	54%



### If no, what is your background in astronomy?

Self-taught	Courses	Amateurs	University
38%	16%	38%	8%



### What was the cause for participating in this course?

Professionals	Personal
36%	64%



### What do you think of the choice of dates?

Very well	Well
73%	27%



### What about duration?

Very well	Well	Length
36%	55%	9%



### What do you think of the organization of the day (and night) in four different types of activities: conferences, working groups, workshops, observations?

Very well	Well	Poor
56%	36%	9%



### What kind of activities have preferred?

All	Workshops
82%	18%



### What kind of activities you like less?

None	Lectures
91%	9%



### The subjects, have met your expectations?

Very well	Well	Poor
27%	64%	9%



### What would you delete?

None	Lectures
82%	18%




### What would you add?

None	More Working Groups	More Workshops	Observations	More Lectures
16%	16%	30%	30%	8%



### The level of activities has been:

Satisfactory	Too High	Too Low
82%	9%	9%



**What is your opinion about the teaching methods of teachers?**

Very well	Well	Poor
46%	46%	8%



**What is your opinion about NASE web?**

Very well	Well
55%	45%



**What is your opinion about coordination between different activities?**

Very well	Well	Poor
18%	64%	18%



**What is your overall opinion of the course related to:**

**a) Exchanges and contacts with other participants?**

Very interesting	Interesting	Uninteresting
18%	73%	9%



**b) What is your opinion about the usefulness of the course to their teaching?**

Very useful	Useful
46%	54%



#### What especially criticize?

- Nothing
- None
- I think the participation has to be done by everyone and ask questions too so that we can explore more.
- Good
- They tried but not convey the messages what they want. Demonstration is not clear on camera.
- Language pronouncing is different
- There's hardly anything to criticize the course was beautifully designed by Rosa, although there was a bit of language barrier between the instructors and us but not to a great extent.
- Nothing to criticize

#### What would you value more?

- Working group, working models
- The presentation with thorough description, and coordination of the chief as well time to time.
- Good
- The way they interacted with us and their patience
- Information Materials in form of ppt
- Working models
- If we could include a bit of cultural study while explaining courses in our country which we will conduct by representing NASA I think it would increase their quality content.
- Lectures
- Organizing & presentation activities
- Observations
- Workshops

#### Other observations?

- Two days are very little, if it could be 5 days, it is sufficient
- Good
- Please appoint those instructors whose English is clear.
- The concepts are nice but virtual mode is some difficult to me
- None for now we had fun learning new things in the workshop.
- Doing their best to spread astronomy
- None